arXiv:math/0508198v1 [math.GR] 11 Aug 2005

# S-ARITHMETIC GROUPS OF $SL_2$ TYPE

R. SARMA

ABSTRACT. For a number field $K$, we show that any $S$-arithmetic subgroup of $SL_2(K)$ contains a subgroup of finite index generated by three elements if $\mathrm{card}(S) \geq 2$.

## 1. Introduction and Notation

Let $K$ be a number field and let $S_\infty$ be the set of all nonconjugate embeddings of $K$ into $\mathbb{C}$. We refer to these embeddings as *infinite primes* of $K$. If $r_1$ (resp. $r_2$) is the number of distinct real (resp. nonconjugate complex) embeddings so that the cardinality of $S_\infty$ is $r_1 + r_2$, then $r_1 + 2r_2 = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$, the extension degree of $K$. Let $S$ be a finite set of primes in $K$ containing $S_\infty$. The ring of integers in $K$ is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_K$. For a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$, denote by $v_\mathfrak{p}$ the valuation defined by $\mathfrak{p}$. The ring $\mathcal{O}_S := \{x \in K : v_\mathfrak{p}(x) \geq 0 \text{ for every prime } \mathfrak{p} \notin S\}$ is called the ring of *$S$-integers* of $K$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{S_\infty} = \mathcal{O}_K$. If $F$ is a subfield of $K$, then set

$$S(F) := \{\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{O}_F : \mathfrak{p} \in S - S_\infty\} \sqcup S_\infty(F) \tag{1}$$

where $S_\infty(F)$ denotes the infinite primes of $F$. We write

$$\mathcal{O}_{S(F)} := \{x \in F : v_\mathfrak{p}(x) \geq 0 \ \forall\, \mathfrak{p} \notin S(F)\} \tag{2}$$

the ring of $S(F)$-integers in $F$.

For two subgroups $H_1$ and $H_2$ in a group, if $H_1 \cap H_2$ is a subgroup of finite index both in $H_1$ and $H_2$, then we say that $H_1$ and $H_2$ are *commensurable* and we write $H_1 \asymp H_2$. In particular, a group is commensurable with its subgroups of finite index. A subgroup $\Gamma$ of $SL_2(K)$ is called *$S$-arithmetic* if $\Gamma \asymp SL_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$.

A subset $X$ of a group $G$ is called a set of *virtual* generators of $G$ if the group generated by $X$ is a subgroup of finite index in $G$ and the group $G$ is said to be generated *virtually* by $X$.

Let the cardinality of any set $X$ be denoted by $\mathrm{card}(X)$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F05, 11F06; Secondary 22E40

**R. Sarma.** Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Chhatnag Road, Jhunsi, Allahabad 211 019, India. ritumoni@mri.ernet.in.

A number field is called a *totally real* field if all its embeddings are real. A number field is called a *CM field* if it is an imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real field. If a number field is not CM then we refer to it as a *non-CM* field.

For any commutative ring $A$, denote by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & A \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{ resp. } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ A & 1 \end{pmatrix}) \tag{3}$$

the subgroup of $SL_2(A)$ consisting of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{ resp. } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}) \qquad \text{for } x \in A.$$

Let $G$ be any group and let $a, b \in G$. Denote by ${}^a b$ the element $aba^{-1}$ in $G$.

We use a few well known number theoretic results (for details, see [1],[2]): The ring $\mathcal{O}_K$ of integers in $K$ is a **Dedekind domain**. An ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$ has a unique **factorization into prime ideals** of $\mathcal{O}_K$. For a finitely generated abelian group $H$, let $\text{rank}(H)$ denote the **rank** of $H$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. **Dirichlet's unit theorem** asserts that

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{O}_K^*) = r_1 + r_2 - 1 \tag{4}$$

where $r_1$ and $r_2$ are defined as above. Also (cf. Lemma 5)

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{O}_S^*) = \text{card}(S) - 1. \tag{5}$$

The group of units of a ring $A$ is denoted by $A^*$. For an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$, let the **order** of the class of $\mathfrak{a}$ in the **ideal class group** of $K$ be denoted by $\text{ord}_K(\mathfrak{a})$. It is well known that the class group of a number field is finite. Thus $\text{ord}_K(\mathfrak{a})$ is always a **finite** number.

Now we state the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 1.** *Let $K$ be a number field and let $S$ be a finite set of primes in $K$ containing the infinite ones such that* $\text{card}(S) \geq 2$. *Any $S$-arithmetic subgroup of $SL_2(K)$ is virtually generated by three elements.*

We postpone the proof of this theorem to section 3. In [3], it is shown that the **higher rank arithmetic groups** are virtually generated by three elements. The tools used to prove this do not seem to work for the case of $S$-arithmetic groups. For instance, if $U$ is a **unipotent group**, and if $\Gamma$ is a **Zariski dense** subgroup of an arithmetic subgroup of $U$, then $\Gamma$ is also arithmetic. This fact plays a crucial role in the case of higher rank arithmetic groups. The analogous statement does not hold in the case of $S$-arithmetic subgroups. So it needs a separate treatment. The case of $SL_2$ is the first case that one would like to deal with because this is the simplest possible case. The technique

here may indicate how to proceed for other $S$-arithmetic groups. In the next section we prove a number theoretic result which asserts that $\mathcal{O}_S$ is **almost** generated by some unit (in fact, by any positive power of that unit) in $\mathcal{O}_S$. Then our main result follows from a theorem due to Vaserstein. The condition that $\mathrm{card}(S) \geq 2$ is equivalent to say that the group $\mathcal{O}_S^*$ is infinite.

## 2. A Number Theoretic Result

**Theorem 2.** *Let $K$ be a non-CM field and let $S$ be a finite set of primes including the infinite ones with $\mathrm{card}(S) \geq 2$. Then there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_S^*$ such that the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha^n]$ is a subgroup of finite index in the ring $\mathcal{O}_S$ of $S$-integers for every positive integer $n$.*

The proof of Theorem 2 is divided into a few lemmata.

**Lemma 3.** *If $K$ is a non-CM field and if $F$ is a proper subfield of $K$, then $\mathcal{O}_F^*$ is a subgroup of infinite index in $\mathcal{O}_K^*$.*

*Proof.* Assume to the contrary that there exists a subfield $F$ of $K$ such that the quotient $\mathcal{O}_K^*/\mathcal{O}_F^*$ is finite. We show that $K$ is a CM field and arrive at a contradiction.

Let $d = [K : F]$ be the degree of exention. Let $A$ (resp. $B$) be the set of all real (resp. nonconjugate complex) embeddings of $F$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. For an embedding $a \in A$ , let $x(a)$ (resp. $y(a)$) be the number of real (resp. nonconjugate complex) extensions of $a$ to $K$. Then we have

$$x(a) + 2y(a) = d. \tag{6}$$

Similarly, we define $x(b), y(b)$ for $b \in B$. Then $x(b) = 0$ and $y(b) = d$. Since $\mathcal{O}_K^*/\mathcal{O}_F^*$ is finite, $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{O}_F^*) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{O}_K^*)$. Hence by (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{card}(A) + \mathrm{card}(B) - 1 &= \sum_{a\in A} x(a) + \big(\sum_{a\in A} y(a) + \sum_{b\in B} y(b)\big) - 1 \\ &= \sum_{a\in A} \{x(a) + y(a)\} + \sum_{b\in B} y(b) - 1 \\ &\geq \mathrm{card}(A) + d\ \mathrm{card}(B) - 1, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

as $x(a)+y(a) \geq 1$. Now since $F$ is a proper subfield of $K$, we have $d > 1$. Hence using (7), we see that $\mathrm{card}(B) = 0$. Thus, $F$ is a totally real field. Therefore by inequality (7), we get $\mathrm{card}(A) = \sum_{a\in A} \{x(a) + y(a)\}$. Hence for each $a \in A$, we have

$$x(a) + y(a) = 1. \tag{8}$$

Now, it follows from (6) that $y(a) = d-1$. Therefore, since $d-1 \geq 1$, by (8), we have $x(a) = 0$ and $y(a) = 1$. Thus again by (6), we get $d = 2$ so that the field $K$ is an imaginary quadratic extension over the totally real field $F$ which is a contradiction as desired. □

**Lemma 4.** *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and let $\alpha$ be integral. Then $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha^{-1}]$ is of finite index in $\mathcal{O}_K[\alpha^{-1}]$.*

*Proof.* Since $\alpha$ is an integral element, we have $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \subset \mathbb{Z}[\alpha^{-1}]$. Let $n$ be the index of $\alpha\mathcal{O}_K$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$. For $0 \leq i \leq (n-1)$, the cosets $\alpha\mathcal{O}_K + i$ are the distinct cosets. Otherwise, $\alpha\mathcal{O}_K + i = \alpha\mathcal{O}_K + j$ for $0 \leq i < j \leq (n-1)$ so that $j - i \in \alpha\mathcal{O}_K$. This implies that $n$ divides $j - i$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_K$ is the union of these $n$ cosets. Thus, in particular,

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] + \alpha\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_K. \tag{9}$$

On the other hand, $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is of finite index in $\mathcal{O}_K$. By (9), we may assume that the distinct cosets (as an additive subgroup) of $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$ are $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] + \alpha x_i$ with $0 \leq i \leq (m-1)$. We claim that the representatives of $\mathcal{O}_K[\alpha^{-1}]/\mathbb{Z}[\alpha^{-1}]$ in $\mathcal{O}_K[\alpha^{-1}]$, are $\alpha x_i$ (not necessarily distinct). Let $y \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Then, by (9), $y = y_1 + \alpha x_{i_1}$ for $y_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ and $0 \leq i_1 \leq (m-1)$. Thus $\alpha^{-1}y = \alpha^{-1}y_1 + x_{i_1}$. Again, using (9), we have $x_{i_1} = z_1 + \alpha x_{i_2}$ for $z_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ and $0 \leq i_2 \leq (m-1)$ so that $\alpha^{-1}y = (\alpha^{-1}y_1 + z_1) + \alpha x_{i_2}$. Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha^{-1}] + \alpha^{-1}y = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha^{-1}] + \alpha x_{i_2}$. Thus inductively, one can show that $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha^{-1}] + \alpha^{-r}y = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha^{-1}] + \alpha x_i$ for some $0 \leq i \leq (m-1)$. □

**Lemma 5.** *Let $K$ be a number field and let $S$ be a finite set of primes in $K$ containing $S_\infty$. Assume that $S - S_\infty = \{\mathfrak{q}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_r\}$, $\mathrm{ord}_K(\mathfrak{q}_i) = a_i$ and that $\mathfrak{q}_i^{a_i}$ is generated by $\beta_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ $\forall\, i$. Then $\mathcal{O}_S = \mathcal{O}_K[\beta_1^{-1}, \ldots, \beta_r^{-1}]$.*

*Proof.* Obviously, $\mathcal{O}_S \supset \mathcal{O}_K[\beta_1^{-1}, \ldots, \beta_r^{-1}]$. To see the other containment, let $x \in \mathcal{O}_S$. Then $x = yz^{-1}$ for $y, z \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(z) = 0$ for $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$ so that, by prime factorization, $z\mathcal{O}_K = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathfrak{q}_i^{n_i}$ with $n_i \geq 0$. Let $m = \prod_{i=1}^{r} a_i$. Since $\mathfrak{q}_i^{a_i}$ is generated by $\beta_i$, we have $z^{-m} = u\prod_{i=1}^{r} \beta_i^{-n_i'}$ for some $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$ and $n_i' \geq 0$ so that $z^{-m} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\beta_1^{-1}, \ldots, \beta_r^{-1}]$. Further, $z^{-1} = z^{m-1}z^{-m}$ and $z^{m-1} \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Therefore, $z^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\beta_1^{-1}, \ldots, \beta_r^{-1}]$ and hence $x = yz^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\beta_1^{-1}, \ldots, \beta_r^{-1}]$. □

Now by Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.** *Suppose that $R$ is a subring of finite index in $\mathcal{O}_K$. Then with the notation as in Lemma 5, the ring $R[\beta_1^{-1}, \ldots, \beta_r^{-1}]$ is of finite index in $\mathcal{O}_S$.* □

Let $\{S_i : 1 \leq i \leq s\}$ be the set of **all the proper subsets** of $S$ and let $\{K_j : 1 \leq j \leq t\}$ be the set of **all the proper subfields** of $K$. Define

$$V_i := \mathcal{O}^*_{S_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad W_j := (\mathcal{O}^*_{S(K_j)} \cap \mathcal{O}^*_S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}. \tag{10}$$

Then $V_i$ (resp. $W_j$) is vector space of dimension $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_{S_i})$ (resp. $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_{S(K_j)})$) over $\mathbb{Q}$. By Lemma 5, we have $\mathcal{O}^*_S = \mathcal{O}^*_K \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ where $r = \operatorname{card}(S) - \operatorname{card}(S_\infty)$.

**Lemma 7.** *With the above notation, if $K$ is a non-CM field, there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}^*_S - (\overset{s}{\underset{i=1}{\cup}} V_i) \cup (\overset{t}{\underset{j=1}{\cup}} W_j)$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) < 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in S - S_\infty$.*

*Proof.* For each $1 \leq j \leq s$, we have (see (1) and (2) for definition)

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_{S(K_j)}) &= \operatorname{card}(S(K_j)) - 1 \\ &= \{\operatorname{card}(S_\infty(K_j)) - 1\} + \operatorname{card}(S(K_j) - S_\infty(K_j)) \\ &= \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_{K_j}) + \operatorname{card}(S(K_j) - S_\infty(K_j)). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Since $K$ is a non-CM field, by Lemma 3, $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_{K_j}) < \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_K)$. Moreover, $\operatorname{card}(S(K_j) - S_\infty(K_j)) \leq \operatorname{card}(S - S_\infty)$. Therefore, we get

$$\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_{S(K_j)} \cap \mathcal{O}^*_S) < \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_S). \tag{12}$$

Further, $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_{S_i}) = \operatorname{card}(S_i) - 1 < \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_S)$. Then by comparing the dimensions, we have $V_i \subsetneqq V$ and $W_j \subsetneqq V$ where $V := \mathcal{O}^*_S \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ (cf. (10)). Since a finite union of proper subspaces of a vector space over an infinite field is a proper subset of the vector space, we have $V - (\overset{s}{\underset{i=1}{\cup}} V_i) \cup (\overset{t}{\underset{j=1}{\cup}} W_j)$ is **nonempty**. Let

$$X := \{x \in \mathcal{O}^*_S : v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) < 0 \, \forall \mathfrak{p} \in S - S_\infty\}. \tag{13}$$

Then $X$ is Zariski dense in $V$. Thus the set

$$Y := X - (\overset{s}{\underset{i=1}{\cup}} V_i) \cup (\overset{t}{\underset{j=1}{\cup}} W_j)$$

is also nonempty. If $\alpha \in Y$, then $\alpha^n \in Y$. Thus, $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}^*_S$ can be chosen such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) < 0$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in S - S_\infty$. □

**Lemma 8.** *Let $\alpha$ be chosen as in Lemma 7. Then the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha^n]$ is a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{O}_S$ for every positive integer $n$.*

*Proof.* We claim $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = K$. If not, then let $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = L$ such that $L \subsetneqq K$. Assume for $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$ and $x \in \mathcal{O}_L$ that $v_{\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{O}_L}(x) \neq 0$ so that $x\mathcal{O}_L \subset \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{O}_L$. Then, $x\mathcal{O}_K \subset (\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{O}_L)\mathcal{O}_K \subset \mathfrak{p}$ so that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \neq 0$. Thus, equivalently, for $x \in \mathcal{O}_L$, if $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = 0$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$, we have $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = 0$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \notin S(L)$. Therefore, in particular, $v_p(\alpha^{-1}) = 0 \, \forall \mathfrak{p} \notin S(L)$

so that $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}^*_{S(L)} \cap \mathcal{O}^*_S$. This contradicts the choice of $\alpha$. Hence $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = K$.

Since $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, we also have $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha^{-1})$ and since $\alpha^{-1}$ is integral in $K$, the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha^{-1}]$ is a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let $S - S_\infty = \{\mathfrak{p}_i : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$. Consider the prime factorization

$$\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{O}_K = \prod_{i=1}^{l} \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} \tag{14}$$

where $n_i \geq 0$. In fact, by our choice of $\alpha$, $n_i > 0$ for each $i$. Let $\mathrm{ord}_K(\mathfrak{p}_i) = r_i$ and let $\mathfrak{p}_i^{r_i} = \beta_i \mathcal{O}_K$ for $\beta_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Then, we have

$$\alpha^m = \prod_{i=1}^{l} \beta_i^{-b_i} \tag{15}$$

(if necessary absorbing a unit with $\beta_1$) for some integers $m > 0$ and $b_i > 0$. Since $\beta_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$, it follows by (15) that $\beta_i^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\alpha]$. Now by Lemma 6, the ring $\mathcal{O}_K[\alpha] = \mathcal{O}_S$. Thus, by Lemma 4, the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is of finite index in $\mathcal{O}_S$. □

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

In fact, we have proved more.

**Corollary 1.** *Let $K$ be any finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $S$ be as before. If* $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_{S(L)} \cap \mathcal{O}^*_S) < \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_S)$ **for every proper subfield** *$L$ of $K$, then there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}^*_S$ such that the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha^n]$ is a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{O}_S$* **for every** *$n \geq 1$.* □

The hypothesis of Corollary 1 **may hold sometimes even for a CM field**. Here we see two examples:

**Example.** (i) The field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ is a CM field and $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$. The prime ideal $2\mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ is totally ramified in $K$. In fact, $2\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}^2$ where $\mathfrak{p} = \langle 1 + \sqrt{-1} \rangle$. Let $S - S_\infty = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. For $K$, the set $S_\infty$ of infinite primes is singleton. Thus $\mathrm{card}(S) = 2$ and hence $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_S) = 1$. Also, $\mathcal{O}_{S(\mathbb{Q})} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ and so $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_{S(\mathbb{Q})} \cap \mathcal{O}^*_S) = 1$ (observe that $\mathcal{O}_S = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}][\frac{1}{1+\sqrt{-1}}]$ includes $\mathcal{O}_{S(\mathbb{Q})}$). This is an example which does not satisfy the hypothesis corollary 1.

(ii) Next consider the ideal $5\mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ which splits completely in $K$: $5\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}_2$ where $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \langle 5, 2 + \sqrt{-1} \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{p}_2 = \langle 5, 2 - \sqrt{-1} \rangle$. Let $S - S_\infty = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2\}$. Then $\mathrm{card}(S) = 3$ and hence $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_S) = 2$. The contraction of the primes of $S - S_\infty$ to $\mathbb{Q}$ are $5\mathbb{Z}$ each. Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_{S(\mathbb{Q})} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{5}]$ and hence $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_{S(\mathbb{Q})}) = 1$. This is an example of a set of primes of the CM-field $K$ which satisfies the hypothesis.

We need Corollary 1 to prove the main theorem of the paper.

## 3. Proof of the Main Theorem

We imitate the proof of the same result for the case of arithmetic subgroups of $SL_2(K)$ (cf. [3]). Here, we state a result due to Vaserstein which we use in the proof of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 9** (Vaserstein)**.** [5] *Let $K$ be a number field and let $S$ be a finite set of primes in $K$ including $S_\infty$ such that* $\mathrm{card}(S) \geq 2$. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a nonzero ideal of $\mathcal{O}_S$. The group generated by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathfrak{a} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{a} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a subgroup of finite index in $SL_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$.*

To prove Theorem 1, it suffices to show that any subgroup of finite index in $SL_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$ is virtually generated by three elements. Let $\Gamma$ be a subgroup of finite index in $SL_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$. Without loss of generality we assume that it is a normal subgroup. Let its index in $SL_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$ be $h$.

**Case 1:** The pair $(K, S)$ is such that for **every proper subfield** $L$ of $K$, we have

$$\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_{S(L)} \cap \mathcal{O}^*_S) < \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_S). \tag{16}$$

Choose $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}^*_S$ **as in Corollary 1**. Obviously, $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha^h & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-h} \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha^h]$ is a subring of finite index in $\mathcal{O}_S$, we replace $\alpha^h$ by $\alpha$ and assume that $\gamma := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. Define, $\psi_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ h & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ and $\psi_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. Let $\Gamma_0 = \langle \gamma, \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle$. We claim that $\Gamma_0$ is a subgroup of finite index in $SL_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$.

Indeed, $\gamma^{-r}\psi_1^s\gamma^r = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ s\alpha^{2r}h & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0$ and $\gamma^r\psi_2^s\gamma^{-r} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s\alpha^{2r}h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0$. One concludes from this that $\Gamma$ contains $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $x, y \in h\mathbb{Z}[\alpha^2]$. By Corollary 1, $h\mathbb{Z}[\alpha^2]$ is of finite index in the additive group $\mathcal{O}_S$. If $m$ is the index then the ideal $\mathfrak{a} := m\mathcal{O}_S$ is contained in $h\mathbb{Z}[\alpha^2]$. Now it follows from Theorem 9 that the group $\Gamma_0$ is a subgroup of finite index in $SL_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$.

**Case 2:** The pair $(K, S)$ is such that the inequality (16) **does not hold for some proper subfield** $F$ of $K$. That is, we have

$$\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_{S(F)} \cap \mathcal{O}^*_S) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{O}^*_S). \tag{17}$$

This implies that $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{O}_F^*) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{O}_K^*)$. Thus, by Lemma 3, $K$ is a CM field and in fact $K = F(\sqrt{-d})$ so that $F$ is a totally real field and $d$ a totally positive integer in $F$. Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{S(F)}^* \asymp \mathcal{O}_S^*, \tag{18}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_F^* \asymp \mathcal{O}_K^*. \tag{19}$$

We prove a number theoretic lemma here.

**Lemma 10.** *With the above notation, let* (17) *hold for a CM filed* $K = F[\sqrt{-d}]$. *There exists* $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{S(F)}^* \cap \mathcal{O}_S^*$ *such that the ring* $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha^n][\sqrt{-d}]$ *is of finite index in* $\mathcal{O}_S$ *for any integer* $n$.

*Proof.* In the case of a quadratic extension, a prime ideal of the base field is either inert or totally ramified or split completely (into two distinct primes). We claim that the set $S(F)$ (cf. (1)), does not contain any finite prime which splits completely in $K$. To the contrary, if $S(F)$ contains a split prime $\mathfrak{q}$ so that $\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{q}_1\mathfrak{q}_2$, then we have two possibilities, namely, $\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2 \in S$ or $\mathfrak{q}_1 \in S$ and $\mathfrak{q}_2 \notin S$. If $\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2 \in S$, then $\mathrm{card}(S(F)) < \mathrm{card}(S)$ (since $\mathfrak{q}_1$ and $\mathfrak{q}_2$ are contracted to the same prime $\mathfrak{q}$ in $F$) and thus (17) does not hold. This is a contradiction. Next, assume that $\mathfrak{q}_1 \in S$ and $\mathfrak{q}_2 \notin S$. Let $\beta$ (resp. $\gamma_1$) be the generator of $\mathfrak{q}^{\mathrm{ord}_F(\mathfrak{q})}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{q}_1^{\mathrm{ord}_K(\mathfrak{q}_1)}$). By (18), we have $\mathcal{O}_S \supset \mathcal{O}_{S(F)}$ so that $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_S$. Again (18) and (19) together imply that $\gamma_1^m \in \mathcal{O}_{S(F)}$ for some $m > 0$ so that $\gamma_1^m = u\beta^b x$ for some $b > 0$ and $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^* \cap \mathcal{O}_F^*$ and $x \in \mathcal{O}_{S(F)}^* \cap \mathcal{O}_S^*$ with $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = 0$ for $\mathfrak{p} \notin S(F)$. Then $v_{\mathfrak{q}_2}(\gamma_1) = 0$ whereas $v_{\mathfrak{q}_2}(u\beta^b x) > 0$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, we have

$$(\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_F)\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{q} \text{ or } \mathfrak{q}^2. \tag{20}$$

Let $\mathrm{ord}_F(\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_F) = a$. Then, by (20), we see that $(\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_F)^a\mathcal{O}_K = ((\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_F)\mathcal{O}_K)^a = \mathfrak{q}^a$ or $\mathfrak{q}^{2a}$ is a principal ideal. Thus, $(\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_F)^a$ and $\mathfrak{q}^b$ (for $b = a$ or $2a$) are generated by the same element $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_F$.

Let $S - S_\infty = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_s\}$. Choose $\beta_i \in \mathcal{O}_F$ such that $(\mathfrak{p}_i \cap \mathcal{O}_F)^{\mathrm{ord}_F(\mathfrak{p}_i \cap \mathcal{O}_F)} = \beta_i\mathcal{O}_F$. Then, by Lemma 5, $\mathcal{O}_{S(F)} = \mathcal{O}_F[\beta_1^{-1}, \ldots, \beta_m^{-1}]$ and $\mathcal{O}_S = \mathcal{O}_K[\beta_1^{-1}, \ldots, \beta_m^{-1}]$. Now, since $\mathcal{O}_F[\sqrt{-d}]$ is of finite index in $\mathcal{O}_K$, by Lemma 6, we have $\mathcal{O}_{S(F)}[\sqrt{-d}] = \mathcal{O}_F[\sqrt{-d}][\beta_1^{-1}, \ldots, \beta_m^{-1}]$ is of finite index in $\mathcal{O}_S$. Since $F$ is a non-CM field, by Theorem 2, one can choose $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{S(F)}^* \cap \mathcal{O}_S^*$ such that $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha^n]$ is of finite index in $\mathcal{O}_{S(F)}$ for every $n \geq 1$. Then $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha^n][\sqrt{-d}]$ is of finite index in $\mathcal{O}_S$. □

Define $\gamma$ and $\psi_1$ as in **case 1**. We modify the definition of $\psi_2$ by $\psi_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h\sqrt{-d} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. Let $\Gamma_0 := \langle \gamma, \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle$. We show that $\Gamma_0$ is a subgroup of finite index in $SL_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$.

Since $F$ is a non-CM field, by an argument similar to case 1, one shows that there is an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{S(F)}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{a} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset \Gamma_0 \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{-d}\mathfrak{a} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset \Gamma_0. \tag{21}$$

Then for $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, using Bruhat decomposition (see [4, 8.3]) of $\psi_2$, we have

$${}^{\psi_2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} = {}^{u}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & h^2dx \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0 \text{ where } u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{h\sqrt{-d}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{22}$$

Let $\mathfrak{b} = h^2d\mathfrak{a}$. Then we have

$${}^{u}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathfrak{b} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset \Gamma_0 \text{ and } {}^{u}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{b} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{b} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset \Gamma_0. \tag{23}$$

Let $\Gamma_1$ be the subgroup of $SL_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ generated by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathfrak{b} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{b} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then, by (23), we have ${}^{u}\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_0$. By Theorem 9, the index of $\Gamma_1$ in $SL_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is finite. Thus it follows that there exists an integer $N$ such that

$$\gamma^N \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_0. \tag{24}$$

Since ${}^{u}\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_0$, we have ${}^{u}\gamma^N \in \Gamma_0$.

Therefore, ${}^{u}\gamma^{-N}\gamma^N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ (\alpha^{2N}-1)\frac{\sqrt{-d}}{hd} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0$. Now by conjugating this element and its powers by negative powers of $\gamma$, one shows that

$$\Gamma_0 \supset \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{-d}\mathfrak{c} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{25}$$

where $\mathfrak{c} := (\alpha^{2N}-1)\mathbb{Z}[\alpha^2] \cap \mathfrak{a}$. Now $\mathfrak{c} + \sqrt{-d}\mathfrak{c}$ is a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{O}_{S(F)}[\sqrt{-d}]$ and hence in $\mathcal{O}_S$. Therefore, the group $\mathfrak{c} + \sqrt{-d}\mathfrak{c}$ contains a nonzero ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of $\mathcal{O}_S$. Since $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{a}$, by (21) and (25), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{q} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset \Gamma_0. \tag{26}$$

Again, for $y \in \mathfrak{a}$, using the Bruhat decomposition of $\psi_1$, we have

$${}^{\psi_1}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & y\sqrt{-d} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = {}^{v\varphi}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ h^2yd & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0 \tag{27}$$

where $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{h} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{-d}} \end{pmatrix}$. Thus we have

$$ {}^{v\varphi}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathfrak{b} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset \Gamma_0, \qquad \text{and} \qquad {}^{v\varphi}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{b} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset \Gamma_0. \tag{28}$$

Therefore, ${}^{v\varphi}\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_0$ and hence ${}^{v\varphi}\gamma^N \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_0$. Thus, using (24) we have

$$ {}^{v\varphi}\gamma^N\gamma^{-N} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (1-\alpha^{2N})\frac{1}{h} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0. \tag{29}$$

Again by conjugating this element and its powers by nonnegative powers of $\gamma$, one shows that

$$ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathfrak{c} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset \Gamma_0. \tag{30}$$

Since $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{a}$, by (21) and (30), we have

$$ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathfrak{q} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset \Gamma_0. \tag{31}$$

It follows from (26) and (31), and by Theorem 9, that the group $\Gamma_0$ is a subgroup of finite index in $SL_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

## References


[1] D. A. Marcus, *Number fields*, Springer Verlag, 1977.

[2] V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic Groups and Number Theory*, Academic Press, INC 1991.

[3] Ritumoni Sarma, T. N. Venkataramana, *Generators of Arithmetic Groups*, (to appear in Geometriae Dedicata).
http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/math.GR/0409345.

[4] T. A. Springer, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Progress in Math. Vol. 9. Birkhauser, second ed., 1998.

[5] L. N. Vaserstein, *On the Group $SL_2$ over Dedekind Rings of Arithmetic type*, Math. USSR; Sbornik, **18** (1972), No.2, p. 321-332.



Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Jhunsi, Chhatnag road, Allahabad, India.

*E-mail address*: ritumoni@mri.ernet.in